SINGLE BENCH

03

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH JUDICATURE AT

BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 1546 OF 2005

PETITIONER : J.P. Mishra S/o Late Shri Durga Prasad Mishra Aged about 50 years, Co-operative Inspector Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 17661 03
Presented by Shri L.C. Dash
dated 19.04.05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary Department of Co-operative, D.K.S. Building Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
19.4.
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

2. Deputy Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Near Co-operative Bank, Rajbandha, Raipur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 1546/05 सन् 200

24

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

25.4.2005

Mr. L.C. Dash, counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhaskar Pyasi, Panel Lawyer for the State.

Heard.

By way of this petition under Article 226 / 227 of the Constitution the petitioner has questioned the legality and propriety of order dated 10.11.2004 (Annexure P-1) whereby the petitioner has been transferred from Raipur Division to Dantewada Division.

The case of the petitioner is that the seniority of Class-III employees are being maintained division wise whereas by way of the impugned transfer order the petitioner is being transferred to another division. Further case of the petitioner is that petitioner's wife is working as Assistant Teacher in the Education Department at Raipur and as per State Government policy husband and wife who are working in the Government departments, as far as possible, be posted at one place. Further case of the petitioner is the treatment of his son, who is mentally sick, is going on and proper medical facility is not available at the transfer place.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.1 along with copy of this petition raising all his grievances and respondent No.1 be directed to decide his representation, to which counsel for the respondents have no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a representation along with copy of this petition within a period

(पीछे देखिये)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP/1546/05 **सन्** **200**

25

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	-2-	

of one week from today to respondent No.1 raising all his grievances and in turn respondent No.1 is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner within another one month from the date of receipt of the representation in an objective manner after considering all the grievances raised by the petitioner in accordance with law.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.W.P. No.1205/05 & I.A. Nos.3014/05 also stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
L.C.Bhadoo
Judge

9/

12/5/05